

Transition Metal Complexes in Organic Synthesis. Part 57:¹ Synthesis of 1-Azabuta-1,3-dienes and Application to Catalytic Complexation of Buta-1,3-dienes and Cycloalkadienes by the Tricarbonyliron Fragment

Hans-Joachim Knölker,^{a,*} Birte Ahrens,^b Peter Gonser,^a Michael Heininger^a and Peter G. Jones^b

^aInstitut für Organische Chemie, Universität Karlsruhe, Richard-Willstätter-Allee, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany ^bInstitut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

Accepted 7 December 1999

Abstract—The 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes 5a-g were prepared and transformed to the corresponding tricarbonyliron complexes 6. The efficiency of 6 as tricarbonyliron transfer reagents and the activity of 5a-g for the catalytic complexation with either nonacarbonyldiiron or penta-carbonyliron was investigated. It was shown that the catalytic complexation with pentacarbonyliron using the azadiene 5b as catalyst in dioxane at reflux can be applied to 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Tricarbonyliron complexes of buta-1,3-dienes and cyclohexa-1,3-dienes represent versatile starting materials for modern synthesis.² The reactivity of the free conjugated diene is reduced by coordination to the tricarbonyliron fragment, which therefore may be regarded as a protecting group. The metal fragment stabilizes cations in the allylic positions of the 1,3-diene via the η^5 -coordinated dienyl systems and thus enables the addition of nucleophiles at these positions. The stereoselectivity of reactions at tricarbonyliron complexes is controlled by the steric demand of the bulky metal fragment.²

Using classical procedures for the preparation of tricarbonyliron-diene complexes the 1,3- or the 1,4-diene is treated with pentacarbonyliron or nonacarbonyldiiron under either thermal or photolytic reaction conditions.³ However, these methods very often have the drawback that in order to get good yields a large excess of the carbonyliron complex has to be applied, thus leading to the formation of pyrophoric iron, which is hazardous on workup. Considerably milder conditions leading to a more selective reaction for the coordination of the diene to the metal fragment can be applied by using tricarbonyliron transfer reagents.⁴ These are labile tricarbonyliron complexes that transfer the metal fragment from the weakly bound ligand to a buta-1,3-diene or a cyclohexa-1,3-diene, thus forming a thermodynamically more stable complex. The tricarbonyl-(η^4 -1-oxabuta-1,3-diene) iron complexes with the (η^4 benzylideneacetone)tricarbonyliron as parent compound were the first class of such transfer reagents to be reported.^{5,6} A further tricarbonyliron transfer reagent is the tricarbonylbis(η^2 -*cis*-cyclooctene)iron developed by Grevels.⁷ In contrast to the former reagent, Grevels' reagent can be used for the complexation of 1,4-dienes by the tricarbonyliron fragment with concomitant conjugation to the 1,3diene.

We recently described (η^4 -1-azabuta-1,3-diene)tricarbonyl-iron complexes as a novel class of highly efficient tri-carbonyliron transfer reagents.⁸⁻¹¹ Tricarbonyliron complexes of 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes were first reported by Otsuka and Lewis three decades ago,¹² but have found only few applications to synthesis.^{13,14} We found that for several reasons they are superior to the two former reagents as tricarbonyliron transfer reagents. The red crystalline $(\eta^4-1-azabuta-1,3-diene)$ tricarbonyliron complexes are stable in air and can be prepared in high yields (70-90%) by an ultrasound-promoted complexation of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes with nonacarbonyldiiron at room temperature.⁸⁻¹¹ The metal fragment of the $(\eta^4-1$ azabuta-1,3-diene)tricarbonyliron complexes is easily transferred to 1,3-dienes at elevated temperature (THF, reflux) and following the transfer, the free 1-azabuta-1.3-dienes are almost completely recovered by crystallization. This observation led us to develop a highly efficient catalytic complexation of 1,3-dienes with either nonacarbonyldiiron or pentacarbonyliron in the presence of a 1-azabuta-1,3-diene.^{8,15} Moreover, using

Keywords: tricarbonyliron complexes; transfer reagents; metal complexes. * Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-721-608-2902; fax: +49-721-698-529; e-mail: knoe@ochhades.chemie.uni-karlsruhe.de



Scheme 1.

 Table 1. Yields of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes 5 and the complexes 6

4	\mathbb{R}^1	5, Yield [%]	6, Yield [%]
a	Ph	8211	8211
b	p-MeO(C ₆ H ₄)	100^{11}	8811
с	CH ₂ Ph	80 ^{13c}	76
d	(S)-CH(Me)Ph	92	$80^{\rm a}$
e	Bu	79	_
f	NMe ₂	99	16
g	SO ₂ Ph	40	73

^a The complexation of (S)-5d afforded a 1.2:1 mixture of diastereoisomers (*Rp/Sp,S*)-6d

chiral 1-azabuta-1,3-diene catalysts we achieved an asymmetric catalytic complexation of prochiral cyclohexa-1,3-dienes to the corresponding planar–chiral tricarbonyl(η^4 -cyclohexa-1,3-diene)iron complexes with high asymmetric inductions (up to 85% ee).¹⁶

The extensive early studies directed towards the development of an efficient catalytic complexation by the tricarbonyliron fragment were accomplished with 1,4diaryl-1-azabuta-1,3-dienes and cyclohexa-1,3-diene.^{11,15} Alternative 1-substituted 4-aryl-1-azabuta-1,3-dienes for the synthesis of novel transfer reagents and the complexation of other 1,3-dienes were described only in preliminary studies.^{8a,9} Several 4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-dienes which derive from cinnamaldehyde and a chiral alkylamine were successfully applied to the asymmetric catalytic complexa-tion of prochiral cyclohexa-1,3-dienes.^{16a,c,d} We now report full details of the synthesis of novel 1-substituted 4-aryl-1azabuta-1,3-dienes, the synthesis of the corresponding tricarbonyliron complexes as transfer reagents, and applications to catalytic complexation. Moreover, the method is extended to the complexation of cyclohepta-1,3-diene, buta-1,3-dienes, 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene and -1,4diene.

Results and Discussion

For comparison of the reactivities of the various tricarbonyliron transfer reagents we prepared the tricarbonyliron complex of *p*-methoxybenzylideneacetone $1.^{6}$ The 1-oxadiene **1** is prepared by aldol condensation of *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde and acetone.¹⁷ The complexation of **1** by reaction with Fe₂(CO)₉ under sonication¹⁸ in THF

at room temperature afforded tricarbonyl(η^4 -p-methoxybenzylideneacetone) iron 2 in 30% yield (Scheme 1), which is about the same yield as obtained by the thermal procedure.⁶ In contrast to the ultrasound-promoted complexation of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes¹¹ the reaction of the 1-oxabuta-1,3-diene 1 under the same conditions led to the formation of large amounts of dodecacarbonyltriiron (confirmed by IR spectroscopy). A similar formation of dodecacarbonyltriiron as by-product was observed in the ultrasound-promoted complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ which provided tricarbonyl(η^4 -cyclohexa-1,3-diene)iron in only 31% yield. Imine condensation of cinnamaldehyde 3 and the amino compounds 4 afforded the corresponding 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes 5 in high yields (80-100%) except for the reaction with benzenesulfonamide 4g, which gave the 1-phenylsulfonyl derivative $5g^{19}$ in only 40% yield (Scheme 1, Table 1). Reaction of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes 5 with nonacarbonyldiiron at room temperature under sonication for 15-18 h provided the $(\eta^4-1-azabuta-1,3-diene)$ tricarbonyliron complexes 6 generally in excellent yields (73-88%). The α , β -unsaturated *N*,*N*-dimethylhydrazone **5f** led to the 1-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-substituted tricarbonyliron complex **6f** in only 16% vield.

The 1,4-diaryl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene tricarbonyliron complexes 6a and 6b represent two of the previously established standard reagents for the transfer of the metal fragment¹ and were used as a measure to evaluate the novel 1-azadiene complexes. The ultrasound-promoted complexation of the 1-azadienes with Fe₂(CO)₉ led once again to better results than the previously used thermally induced reaction.^{12,13} The 1-benzyl complex 6c was obtained in 76% yield as a red, highly viscid oil, which is stable under inert gas atmosphere and therefore could be purified and fully characterized for the first time (compare Ref. 13c). The 1-(S)- α -phenylethyl complex 6d, previously prepared only in its racemic form (52% yield),^{13c} was isolated in 80% yield as a 1.2:1 mixture of the two enantiopure diastereoisomers. The method of Otsuka,^{12a} reaction of the tetracarbonyl(η^2 -cinnamaldehyde)iron complex with (S)- α phenylethylamine, gave the same diastereoisomeric ratio for 6d although in only 34% yield. The spectroscopic data of the novel 1-N,N-dimethylamino complex 6f are substantially different from those of the 1-aryl complexes 6a and **6b**.¹¹ The chemical shift of the imine proton (H–C2) in the ¹H NMR spectrum of complex **6f** is δ_{H-C2} =6.70, which



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **6f** in the crystal (arbitrary numbering). Selected bond lengths [Å]: Fe–N1 2.177(2), Fe–C2 2.097(2), Fe–C3 2.038(2), Fe–C4 2.154(2), N1–N2 1.383(2).



Figure 2. Crystal packing of complex 6f.

corresponds to a highfield shift of $\Delta \delta$ =0.43 relative to the chemical shift found for the imine proton of the free ligand **5f** ($\delta_{\text{H-C2}}$ =7.13). This value is smaller than observed for all of the 1-aryl complexes so far investigated (e.g. **6a**: $\Delta \delta$ =1.32, **6b**: $\Delta \delta$ =1.31).¹¹ The wave numbers of the stretching vibration of the carbonyl ligands in the IR spectrum of complex **6f** (2032, 1972 and 1932 cm⁻¹) are significantly lower than those of the 1-aryl complexes,¹¹ indicating a reduced back bonding from the iron atom to the 1-azabutadiene ligand for **6f** because of the increase in energy of the π^* orbitals of the ligand. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of complex **6f** the signals for the iron-coordinated

Table 2. Complexation of the cyclohexa-1,3-dienes 7a and 7b by the tricarbonyliron-fragment using complex 2 as transfer reagent

7	R ²	Solvent	<i>T</i> [°C]	<i>t</i> [min]	8, Yield [%]
a	H	THF	65	20	85
b	OMe	Benzene	80	30	58ª

^a Mixture of 1- 8b and 2-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex 8b'.

carbon atoms of the 1-azadiene (C2, C3 and C4) appear at 100.78, 66.89, and 57.67 ppm, respectively and are shifted to higher field as compared to the 1-phenyl complex 6a $(\delta_{C2-4}=103.86, 74.45 \text{ and } 62.17)$. Most remarkable, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **6f** at 100 MHz in deuterochloroform at room temperature exhibits one sharp signal at $\delta = 211.83$ for the carbonyl ligands. The 1-aryl-1-azabutadiene complexes show under these conditions in their ¹³C NMR spectra for the carbonyl ligands in most cases no (as complex **6b**) or three very broad signals (e.g. **6a**). Obviously, the activation barrier for the turnstile rotation of the tricarbonyliron fragment of the 1-N.N-dimethylamino complex 6f is much lower than for the 1-aryl complexes. The average value for the 3 signals of the carbonyl ligands of complex **6a** in deuterochloroform is δ =208.77. This spectroscopic finding is in agreement with the results of Takats, who reported for tricarbonyliron-carbadiene complexes that the free enthalpy of activation for the intramolecular carbonyl ligand exchange is inversely proportional to the chemical shift of the carbonyl ligands.²⁰ Thus, the ¹³C NMR data support an increased back donation of electrons from the filled iron d-orbitals into the LUMO of the carbonyl ligands for the 1-N,N-dimethylamino complex 6f as compared to the 1-aryl complexes 6a and 6b.

We determined the structure of complex **6f** by an X-ray crystal structure analysis (Fig. 1), which confirmed the η^4 -bonding mode of the tricarbonyliron fragment to the 1-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene ligand. However, the bond lengths differ significantly from those found for the tricarbonyl(η^4 -1,4-diaryl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene)iron complexes.¹¹ Compared to the crystal structure of complex **6b** the Fe–N bond in complex **6f** is significantly longer by 0.102 Å, the Fe–C2 bond is only 0.023 Å longer, while the Fe–C3 bond is 0.030 Å and the Fe–C4 bond is 0.013 Å shorter. Thus, the strong dimethylamino donor substituent weakens the coordination of the iron atom to the C=N double bond. Fig. 2 shows the arrangment of **6f** in the unit cell.

The 1-phenylsulfonyl complex **6g** differs from the red 1-aryl complexes by its orange color and is air-stable even in solution. The high stretching frequencies for the carbonyl ligands in the IR spectrum of complex **6g** (2071, 2013 and 1998 cm⁻¹) indicate a strong metal–azadiene bond due to the high π -acceptor ability of the electron-poor ligand. This interpretation derives further support by the 100 MHz ¹³C NMR spectrum of **6g** which exhibits (in deuterochloroform at room temperature) three signals for the carbonyl ligands at 202.19, 207.27 and 209.05 ppm. The signal for the imine proton in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **6g** (δ_{H-C2} =6.95) is shifted strongly to higher field ($\Delta \delta$ =1.86) relative to the value for **5g** (δ_{H-C2} =8.81).



Reaction of the *p*-methoxybenzylideneacetone complex **2** with cyclohexa-1,3-diene **7a** in THF at reflux for 20 min led to a smooth transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment and provided complex **8a** in 85% yield (Scheme 2, Table 2). Thus, this reaction time is considerably shorter than reported by Brookhart for the reaction of the (η^4 -benzyl-ideneacetone)tricarbonyliron complex with **7a** (benzene, 60°C, 24 h, >95% yield),^{5b,c} which probably can be ascribed to the *p*-methoxy group. The transfer of the metal fragment from complex **2** to 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene **7b** by heating in benzene at reflux for 30 min afforded a mixture of the 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex **8b** and the 2-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex **8b**'.

Firstly we wished to establish the influence of the arylsubstituent in the 1-position of the standard reagents **6a** and **6b** on their reactivity as tricarbonyliron transfer reagents. Therefore, we applied the easily available 1-benzyl complex **6c** and its chiral homologue, the $1-(S)-\alpha$ phenylethyl complex **6d**, to the tricarbonyliron transfer reactions of the cycloalka-1,3-dienes **7a**–**c** and the buta-1,3-dienes **9** and **11** (Scheme 3, Table 3). The complex **6d** was always used as the 1.2:1 diastereoisomeric mixture of (R_P ,S)-**6d** and (S_P ,S)-**6d**, which was obtained by the ultrasound-promoted complexation. The results of the transfer reactions using the complexes **6c** and **6d** show that there is no fundamental difference in reactivity as compared to the 1,4-diaryl-substituted complexes **6a** and **6b**. However, the disadvantage is the lower stability not only of the complexes **6c** and **6d** but also of their corresponding free ligands **5c** and (*S*)-**5d**. Therefore, the yields for the recovery of the free azadienes **5c** and **5d** after the transfer of the metal fragment is much lower than for the recovery of **5b** (>95% yield¹¹).

The transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment from the complexes **6c** and $(R_{\rm P}/S_{\rm P},S)$ -**6d** to the 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene 7b afforded the 1-methoxy complex 8b and the 2-methoxy complex $\mathbf{8b}'$ in a ratio of 2:1. The reaction product from the transfer of $(R_P/S_P,S)$ -6d was separated by flash chromatography with pentane on silica gel into the complexes 8b' (less polar fraction) and 8b (more polar fraction). For the 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex 8b it was shown that no asymmetric induction occurred (specific rotation, chiral HPLC^{16b}). This result contrasts with the asymmetric catalytic complexation of 7b with pentacarbonyliron in benzene at reflux using catalytic amounts of (S)-5d, which provided 8b in 69% yield with 6% ee of the *R* enantiomer.^{16a} The complexation of sorbic aldehyde 11 with $(R_{\rm P}/S_{\rm P},S)$ -6d in THF at reflux provided complex 12 in 59% yield. Condensation of this



Scheme 3.

Table 3. Complexation of 1,3-dienes by the tricarbonyliron-fragment using the complexes 6a-d, 6f and 6g as transfer reagents

	п	\mathbb{R}^2	Solvent	<i>T</i> [°C]	Product		Yield [%]					
						6a	6b	6c	(<i>R</i> p/ <i>S</i> p, <i>S</i>)- 6d	6f	6g	
7a	1	Н	THF	65	8a	88	95	73	70	83	83 ^c	
7b	1	OMe	C_6H_6	80	8b	67 ^a	64 ^a	64 ^b	86 ^b	_	-	
7c	2	Н	C_6H_6	80	8c	73	84	86	65	_	-	
9	_	_	C_6H_6	80	10	77	71	72 ^d	-	_	-	
11	-	-	Toluene	110	12	-	69	-	59 ^d	-	_	

^a Mixture of the regioisomers 1-methoxy- **8b** and 2-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex **8b**' (1:1).

^b Mixture of the regioisomers **8b** and **8b**' (2:1.)

^c For this reaction benzene was used as solvent, $T=80^{\circ}$ C.

^d For this reaction THF was used as solvent, $T=65^{\circ}$ C.



Scheme 4. Ar=4-MeO-C₆H₄.

product with either (*S*)- α -phenylethylamine or RAMP²¹ afforded quantitatively the corresponding imine and hydrazone as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers in each case as shown by the ¹H NMR spectra in benzene-d₆. Thus, complex **12** was also formed as a racemic mixture.

The 1-*N*,*N*-dimethylamino complex **6f** exhibited a surprisingly slow transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment. On heating the complex **6f** with cyclohexa-1,3-diene **7a** in THF at reflux, complex **8a** was finally obtained in 83% yield but only after a reaction time of 19 h. The 1-phenylsulfonyl complex **6g** is even less reactive, because of the electronpoor azadiene ligand, and shows only a small turnover on reaction with **7a** in THF at reflux even with extended reaction times. Heating complex **6g** with **7a** in benzene at 80°C for 16 h provided complex **8a** in 83% yield. In conclusion, the transfer reagents **6f** and **6g**, as compared to **6a-d**, show a considerably decreased reactivity for the transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment on reaction with cyclohexa-1,3-diene **7a**.

A direct comparison of the reactivity of the transfer reagents

6b and **6c** was obtained from a competition experiment (Scheme 4). Reaction of equimolar amounts of the 1-benzyl azadiene **5c** with the 1-aryl complex **6b** in THF at reflux afforded after 5.5 h the tricarbonyliron complexes **6b** and **6c** in a ratio of 1:1.3. However, the total yield of the complexes **6b** and **6c** was only 65%. This is ascribed to the decomposition of **6c** under these conditions, which thus also had an effect on the observed ratio. The corresponding reaction of either **5a** with **6b** or **5b** with **6a** provided quantitatively an equilibrium of the complexes **6a** and **6b** in a ratio of 2:1.¹¹

A transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment from an $(\eta^{4}-1$ azabuta-1,3-diene)tricarbonyliron complex to a deconjugated diene with formation of the tricarbonyl $(\eta^{4}-1,3$ diene)iron complex is obviously not feasible. The attempted transfer of the metal fragment of the 1-(p-anisyl)-1-azadiene complex **6b** to cyclohexa-1,4-diene led even under drastic conditions (toluene, 110°C, 24 h) only to the reisolation of the starting complex **6b**. Also the reaction of the 1-benzyl complex **6c** with cyclohexa-1,4-diene did not afford the tricarbonyliron complex **8a**. Thus, the 1-azabuta-1,3-diene complexes **6**, like the 1-oxabuta-1,3-diene complexes,^{5c}



Scheme 5.

Table 4. Results of the thermally induced complexation of the dienes 7a-c and 11 with Fe₂(CO)₉ without catalyst in comparison with those of the complexation using catalytic amounts of the heterodienes 1, 5b, 5f and 5g (all yields are calculated based on the tricarbonyliron equivalents)

	n	\mathbf{R}^2	Product	Yield [%] ^a	Catalyst	Reaction conditions	Yield [%]
7a	1	Н	8a	22	1	THF, 65°C, 19 h	16
7a	1	Н	8a	22	5b	DME, 85°C, 16.5 h	98
7a	1	Н	8a	22	5f	DME, 85°C, 16 h	30
7a	1	Н	8a	22	5g	Benzene, 80°C, 17.5 h	60
7b	1	OMe	8b	32 ^{b,c}	5b	DME, 85°C, 17 h	86 ^c
7c	2	Н	8c	23	5b	Dioxane, 101°C, 17 h	67
11	-	-	12	41	5b	DME, 85°C, 16 h	72

^a Complexation without catalyst: THF, 65°C, 6-19 h.

^b 1-Methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene (13) was used as starting material.

^c Mixture of the 1-methoxy- 8b and 2-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene complex 8b'.



Scheme 6.

Table 5. Catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe(CO)_5$ —variation of catalyst 5 and reaction time (all reactions were carried out in dioxane at 101°C)

Catalyst	R^1	8a, Yield [%]				
		5 h	14 h	37 h		
5a	Ph	_	41	_		
5b	$p-MeO(C_6H_4)$	21	50	91		
5c	CH ₂ Ph	16	47	80		
(S)- 5d	MeCHPh	20	41	64		
5e	Bu	-	39	-		

cannot be applied for the complexation of 1,4-dienes to the tricarbonyl(η^4 -1,3-diene)iron complexes, in contrast to Grevels' reagent.⁷

We next investigated the catalytic complexation of the dienes 7a-c and 11 by using nonacarbonyldiiron as the tricarbonyliron source and the various azadienes 5 as catalysts (Scheme 5, Table 4). The results of the catalytic complexation were compared with the thermally induced uncatalyzed complexation of the dienes. The uncatalyzed complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene 7a by heating with nonacarbonyldiiron in tetrahydrofuran at reflux for 6 h afforded complex 8a in 22% yield (the yields are based on the iron equivalents). The yield for this reaction is in the same range when catalytic amounts of *p*-methoxybenzylideneacetone 1 are added. This result demonstrates, in contrast to the report in Ref. 6, that the 1-oxabuta-1,3-dienes have no catalytic effect on the complexation of cyclohexadienes with nonacarbonyldiiron. The catalytic complexation of 7a with nonacarbonyldiiron using 12.5 mol% the 1-(panisyl)-1-azadiene 5b as catalyst provided under optimized reaction conditions (1,2-dimethoxyethane, 85°C, 16.5 h) the complex 8a in 98% yield. This result emphasizes that both tricarbonyliron fragments of nonacarbonyldiiron may be transferred quantitatively to the diene by the 1-azadienecatalyzed complexation. Using the 1-(N,N-dimethylamino)-1-azadiene 5f as catalyst under the same reaction conditions, complex 8a was obtained in only 30% yield. In agreement with the results of the stoichiometric transfer reaction with complex 6g, the 1-phenylsulfonyl-1-azadiene 5g shows no catalytic activity in tetrahydrofuran at reflux. However, in benzene at reflux, catalyst 5g provided almost the result which was obtained with the azadiene 5b under the same reaction conditions (catalytic complexation of 7a in benzene at reflux, with 5g as catalyst: 60% yield of 8a, and with **5b** as catalyst: 66% yield of $8a^{15}$).

The results of the catalytic complexation of the 1,3-dienes **7b**, **7c**, and **11** with nonacarbonyldiiron using the 1-azadiene **5b** as catalyst emphasize that the exploitation of both tricarbonyliron fragments is not restricted to the catalytic complexation of **7a**. The reaction conditions (amount of

catalyst **5b**, solvent and reaction time) are the same as for the catalytic complexation of **7a**, except for the catalytic complexation of cyclohepta-1,3-diene **7c**, which was performed in dioxane as solvent. A comparison with the conventional thermally induced uncatalyzed complexation shows again a remarkable increase of the yields based on the tricarbonyliron equivalents.

We also compared the catalytic activity of the 1-azadienes 5a-e on the complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene 7a using pentacarbonyliron under the standard reaction conditions (dioxane, 101°C) at different reaction times (Scheme 6, Table 5). The blank experiment (reaction in dioxane at reflux without catalyst) afforded complex 8a after 14 h in 0.7% yield (see Experimental). With 12.5 mol% of p-methoxybenzylideneacetone 1 the complex 8a was obtained after 14 h in 4% yield (see Experimental), which is ascribed to an in situ generation of a tricarbonyl(η^4 -1oxabuta-1,3-diene)iron complex and transfer of the metal fragment. However, a catalytic effect of the 1-oxadiene 1 on the complexation of 7a with pentacarbonyliron was not found. Catalytic complexation of 7a in the presence of 12.5 mol% of the 1-aryl-1-azadienes 5a and 5b provided after 14 h complex 8a in 41 and 50% yield, respectively. A comparison of the results obtained for the catalytic complexation of 7a after a reaction time of 14 h using the novel catalysts 5c-e with those obtained by the 1-aryl-1azadienes **5a** and **5b** leads to the following conclusion. The yield of complex 8a that was achieved using the 1-benzyl-1azadiene 5c as catalyst comes closest to the one achieved with catalyst 5b, while the turnovers obtained with the catalysts $1-(S-\alpha-phenyethyl)-1-azadiene (S)-5d$ and 1-butyl-1-azadiene 5e are in the same range as with the 1-phenyl-1-azadiene 5a. The catalytic activity of the 1-benzyl-1-azadiene 5c strongly depends on the aging of this catalyst. The catalytic complexation of **7a** using aged azadiene 5c with a brownish color afforded complex 8a in only 39% yield, although the ¹H NMR spectrum of this catalyst showed no impurities. Using a one day old, yellow-colored azadiene 5c as catalyst, complex 8a was obtained in 43% yield. The best result (47% yield of 8a) was provided by using a freshly recrystallized azadiene 5c which was dried over barium oxide. The lower stability of the azadienes 5c and (S)-5d was already observed in the stoichiometric complexation of 7a using the corresponding complexes 6c and $(R_P/S_P,S)$ -6d as transfer reagent (see above). By extension of the reaction time to 37 h the difference of the turnovers obtained with the catalysts 5c and (S)-5d as compared to the result using the standard catalyst 5b becomes even larger, which is probably due to the increasing decomposition of the former azadienes.

The uncatalyzed thermally induced complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene **13** with pentacarbonyliron



ratio

1

Scheme 8.

85

:

15

Scheme 7.

in di-n-butylether at reflux provides a 1:1 mixture of the complexes **8b** and **8b'** in about 20-30% yield (single-stage procedure).^{3e,f} We described above the catalytic complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene 7b with nonacarbonyldiiron and using the azadiene 5b as catalyst, which afforded in dimethoxyethane at reflux the mixture of the complexes 8b and 8b' in 86% yield. For comparison, using the same reaction conditions for the complexation but without catalyst provided the mixture of **8b** and **8b**' in 24% yield (see Experimental). We now wanted to devise reaction conditions for the catalytic complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene 7b and 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4diene 13 to the mixture of 8b and 8b' by using pentacarbonyliron as starting material. Pentacarbonyliron is the much cheaper source for tricarbonyliron fragments as compared to $Fe_2(CO)_9$ and therefore, such a procedure would be of importance for the large-scale preparation of **8b** and **8b**[']. Based on the previous results for the catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene 7a with pentacarbonyliron,¹⁵ we developed an optimized large-scale preparation for **8b** and **8b'** by using 12.5 mol% of the azadiene 5b as catalyst in dioxane at reflux. Catalytic complexation of commercial 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3diene 7b (containing about 35% of the 1,4-diene 13) using these reaction conditions for 5 d afforded a 1:1 mixture of the complexes **8b** and **8b'** in 80% yield (=15.2 g) based on 14.9 g (=10 mL) pentacarbonyliron (Scheme 7).

A further large-scale synthesis starting from the commercial 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene **13** (containing about 15% of the 1,3-diene **7b**) provided by the same reaction conditions the 1:1 mixture of the complexes **8b** and **8b**' in 81% yield based on 13.3 g pentacarbonyliron (Scheme 8).

Conclusion

In the stoichiometric transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment using the tricarbonyl(η^4 -1-azabuta-1,3-diene)iron complexes **6**, the 1-benzyl derivatives **6c** and **6d** show a similar reactivity to the 1-aryl derivatives 6a and 6b. The 1-(N,N-dimethylamino) derivative 6f and the 1-phenylsulfonyl derivative 6g provide comparable yields for the transfer only after prolonged reaction times. The corresponding free ligands, the 1-azadienes 5f and 5g, exhibit also a decreased activity for the catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene with nonacarbonyldiiron. It was shown that both the stoichiometric and the catalytic complexation using 1-azadienes can be applied to the synthesis of the tricarbonyliron complexes of methoxycyclohexa-1,3dienes, cyclohepta-1,3-diene, and various buta-1,3-dienes. For the catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene with pentacarbonyliron the catalyst 5b is superior to the catalysts 5c-e, because the novel 1-azadienes and their tricarbonyliron complexes show a slow decomposition under the reaction conditions. Finally, we elaborated a large-scale preparation of the tricarbonyliron complexes of 1-methoxyand 2-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene **8b** and **8b'** by catalytic complexation of either 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene 7b or 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene 13 with pentacarbonyliron using the 1-azadiene **5b** as catalyst in dioxane at reflux. Thus, we could show for the first time that under these reaction conditions the azadiene-catalyzed complexation can be used for the transformation of 1,4-dienes with concomitant conjugation to the tricarbonyl(η^4 -1,3-diene) iron complexes. This result is in contrast to our observation that a transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment from (η^4 -1-azabuta-1,3-diene)tricarbonyliron complexes to a deconjugated diene does not occur.

1

Experimental

All reactions were carried out using anhydrous and degassed solvents under an inert gas atmosphere. Flash chromatography: Baker or Merck silica gel (0.03–0.06 mm). Bulbto-bulb distillation: Büchi glass tube oven GKR-51. Specific rotation: Perkin–Elmer 241 Polarimeter. Ultrasound: Bandelin Sonorex-TK52H; frequency: 35 kHz, used at 50% power. Melting points: Leitz hot stage and Büchi 535. IR: Bruker IFS-88, Perkin–Elmer 882 and 1710. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra: Bruker WP-200, AC-250, AM-400, DRX-500; internal standard: tetramethylsilane or the signal of the deuterated solvent; coupling constants in Hz. Mass spectra: Finnigan MAT-312 and MAT-90: ionization potential: 70 eV. Elemental analysis: Heraeus CHN-Rapid.

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-1-oxabuta-1,3-diene (1). Acetone (40.5 mL, 32.0 g, 551 mmol) and a solution of sodium hydroxide (15.0 g, 375 mmol) in distilled water (150 mL) was added quickly to a suspension of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (13.4 mL, 15.0 g, 110 mmol) in distilled water (550 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 40°C for 15 h. The precipitate was separated, washed with water, dried in the air, and recrystallized twice from ether to afford the oxabutadiene 1 as pale yellow crystals, yield: 15.3 g (79%). Mp 68°C. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ =2964, 2840, 1657, 1634, 1602, 1575, 1511, 1424, 1360, 1313, 1270, 1245, 1209, 1178, 1030, 1003, 974, 834, 808, 550, 512 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 2.36$ (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 6.61 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J=8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J=8.9 Hz, 2H). MS (25°C): m/z $(\%)=176 (M^+, 55), 161 (100), 145 (6), 133 (31), 118 (10).$ HRMS: calcd for $C_{11}H_{12}O_2$ (M⁺): 176.0837. Found: 176.0837.

Tricarbonyl[(1-4-η)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-1oxabuta-1,3-diene]iron (2). A solution of 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-one (1) (1.45 g, 8.24 mmol) and nonacarbonyldiiron (3.00 g, 8.24 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was sonicated at room temperature for 22 h. Removal of the solvent by evaporation and flash chromatography (Et₂O/ pentane, 1:1) of the residue on silica gel afforded the tricarbonyliron complex 2 as a red solid, yield: 780 mg (30%). Mp>95°C (dec.). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ =3070, 3010, 2958, 2838, 2078, 1997, 1988, 1607, 1519, 1489, 1382, 1295, 1255, 1179, 1039, 935, 835 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.51$ (s, 3H), 3.15 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 5.98 (d, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.95$ (CH₃), 55.17 (CH₃), 61.69 (CH), 78.02 (CH), 114.28 (2 CH), 127.94 (2 CH), 130.62 (C), 141.51 (C), 158.68 (C=O), 203.71 (CO, br), 209.65 (2 CO, br). MS $(50^{\circ}C): m/z \ (\%)=316 \ (M^+, 2), 288 \ (3), 260 \ (4), 232 \ (23),$ 176 (53), 161 (100), 145 (6), 133 (33), 118 (10). HRMS: calcd for $C_{14}H_{12}O_5Fe$ (M⁺): 316.0034. Found: 316.0034.

1,4-Diphenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5a). For the synthesis and the spectral data, see Ref. 11.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b). For the synthesis and the spectral data, see Ref. 11.

1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5c). The azadiene 5c was prepared according to the procedure described by Thomas.^{13c} For spectral data, see Ref. 13c.

4-Phenyl-1-((1S)-1-phenylethyl)-1-azabuta-1,3-diene ((S)-5d). The azadiene (S)-5d was prepared as described by Thomas^{13c} for the racemic compound by using (S)- α -phenylethylamine (S)-4d. The crude product was crystallized from Et₂O/pentane (1:10) to provide the azadiene

(S)-5d as pale yellow crystals, yield 92%. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -0.58$ (c=13 in MeOH). For further spectral data, see Ref. 13c.

1-Butyl-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5e). Cinnamaldehyde (**3**) (0.95 mL, 1.00 g, 7.57 mmol) and butylamine (**4e**) (5.0 mL, 3.73 g, 51 mmol) were stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The excess of butylamine was removed in vacuo (30°C/0.1 mbar) and the product **5e** was obtained by bulb-to-bulb distillation at 125°C/0.1 mbar as a pale yellow oil, yield: 1.12 g (79%). IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}$ =2957, 2930, 1637, 1449, 1166, 986, 750, 691 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.94 (t, *J*=7 Hz, 3H), 1.37 (sext., *J*=7 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (quint., *J*=7 Hz, 2H), 3.51 (dt, *J*=1, 7 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (m, 2H), 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 8.01 (m, 1H).

1-(*N*,*N*-Dimethylamino)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5f). A solution of cinnamaldehyde (3) (1.91 mL, 2.00 g, 15.1 mmol) and 1,1-dimethylhydrazine (4f) (1.26 mL, 1.00 g, 16.6 mmol) in ethyl acetate (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The mixture was dried over magnesium sulfate, then filtered, and the solvent was evaporated. After drying of the residue in vacuo the azabutadiene 5f was obtained as a yellow oil, yield: 2.62 g (100%). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =2.92 (s, 6H), 6.61 (d, *J*=16 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, *J*=16, 9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J*=9 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.43 (m, 5H). MS (25°C): *m/z* (%)=174 (M⁺, 100), 173 (34), 159 (11), 130 (32), 115 (28), 104 (20), 103 (16), 91 (17), 77 (22).

4-Phenyl-1-phenylsulfonyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5g). A solution of cinnamaldehyde (3) (2.86 mL, 3.00 g, 22.7 mmol), benzenesulfonamide (4g) (3.57 g, 22.7 mmol), and triethylamine (9.49 mL, 6.89 g, 68.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was cooled to 0° C and then TiCl₄ (1.25 mL, 2.16 g, 11.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added slowly. The resulting suspension was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was filtered over a short path of Celite (eluent: EtOAc). After evaporation of the solvent the residue was dissolved with ethyl acetate and the solution was washed twice with brine. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed. After addition of EtOH (50 mL) 5f was crystallized at -20°C. Recrystallization of the yellow-brown crystals from Et₂O/EtOH (5:1, 150 mL) provided the product **5f** as bright yellow crystals, yield: 2.43 g (40%). ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.00 \text{ (dd, } J = 15.8, 9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 7.40-7.68 (m, 9H), 7.98 (m, 2H), 8.81 (d, J=9.4 Hz, 1H). For further spectral data, see Ref. 19.

Preparation of the $(\eta^4$ -1-azabuta-1,3-diene)tricarbonyliron complexes 6 via complexation of the 1-azabuta-1,3dienes 5 with Fe₂(CO)₉ by sonication at room temperature: general procedure

A solution of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes **5** and nonacarbonyldiiron in THF (15 mL) [entry **c**: toluene (10 mL)] was sonicated at room temperature for 15–18 h. Removal of the solvent and flash chromatography (Et₂O/pentane) of the residue on silica gel afforded the tricarbonyliron complexes **10**, unless stated otherwise (Table 6).

Entry	5		Fe ₂	(CO) ₉	Eluent (Et ₂ O/pentane)	Yield of 6	
	[g]	[mmol]	[g]	[mmol]		[g]	[%]
a	0.50	2.41	1.10	3.03	1:10	0.682	82
b	0.50	2.11	0.960	2.64	1:10	0.701	88
с	0.50	2.26	0.824	2.26	1:10	0.619	76
d	2.00	8.51	4.03	11.1	1:10	2.56	80
f	1.00	5.75	2.51	6.90	1:20	0.287	16
g	2.00	7.38	3.22	8.86		2.22	73

Table 6. Complexation of the 1-azabuta-1,3-dienes 5

Tricarbonyl[$(1-4-\eta)$ -1,4-diphenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene]iron (6a). For the synthesis and the spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Tricarbonyl[$(1-4-\eta)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene]iron (6b).$ For the synthesis and the spectral data, see Ref. 11.

[(1-4-η)-1-Benzyl-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene]tricarbonyliron (6c). Red, highly viscid oil. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ =2044, 1984, 1963, 1497, 1477, 1448, 1434, 1254, 765, 710, 695, 632, 616, 600, 581, 558, 549 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ =3.12 (d, J=9 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, J=14 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (d, J=14 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (dd, J=9, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, J=2 Hz, 1H), 6.85–7.35 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =61.21 (CH), 63.56 (CH₂), 72.63 (CH), 111.74 (CH), 126.50 (3 CH), 127.09 (CH), 127.69 (2 CH), 128.32 (2 CH), 128.59 (2 CH), 139.18 (C), 140.58 (C), 202.86 (CO, very br), 209.64 (CO, very br), 216.07 (CO, very br). MS (55°C): *m/z* (%)=361 (M⁺, 1), 333 (6), 305 (57), 277 (100), 221 (30), 220 (23), 186 (18), 185 (68), 159 (22), 91 (93). HRMS: calcd for C₁₉H₁₅FeNO₃ (M⁺): 361.0401. Found: 361.0420.

(*R*_P/*S*_P)-**Tricarbonyl**[(1-4-η)-4-phenyl-1-((1*S*)-1-phenylethyl)-1-azabuta-1,3-diene]iron ((*R*_P/*S*)-6d). Red crystals. Diastereoisomeric mixture of (*R*_P/*S*)-6d and (*S*_P,*S*)-6d (ratio: 1.2:1). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): major diastereoisomer: δ =1.25 (d, *J*=6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.81 (q, *J*=6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (d, *J*=9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (dd, *J*=9.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (d, *J*=2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87–7.43 (m, 10H); minor diastereoisomer: δ =1.42 (d, *J*=6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.93 (q, *J*=6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, *J*=9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dd, *J*=9.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, *J*=2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87–7.43 (m, 10H). Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₇FeNO₃: C, 64.02; H, 4.57; N, 3.73. Found: C, 63.99; H, 4.59; N, 3.93. For the spectral data of the racemic compounds, see Ref. 13c.

Tricarbonyl[(1-4-η)-1-(*N*, *N*-dimethylamino)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene]iron (6f). Red crystals. Mp 48°C. IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ =2032, 1972, 1932, 1592, 1457, 1418, 1221, 1124, 1097, 1005, 865, 756, 696, 619 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =2.39 (s, 6H), 2.70 (d, *J*=9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, *J*=9.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J*=3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (m, 1H), 7.25 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =44.22 (2 CH₃), 57.67 (CH), 66.89 (CH), 100.78 (CH), 126.28 (CH), 126.44 (2 CH), 128.55 (2 CH), 139.87 (C), 211.83 (3 CO). MS (30°C): *m/z* (%)=314 (M⁺, 3), 286 (10), 258 (19), 230 (28), 187 (100), 186 (17), 185 (35), 174 (19), 159 (9), 133 (11), 130 (10). HRMS: calcd for C₁₄H₁₄FeN₂O₃ (M⁺): 314.0354. Found: 314.0344. X-Ray crystal structure analysis of 6f. Crystal data: empirical formula C₁₄H₁₄FeN₂O₃, crystal size: 0.60×0.60× 0.40 mm, M=314.12, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a=9.561(2) Å, b=8.650(2) Å, c=17.605(4) Å, $\beta=100.11(2)^{\circ}$, V=1433.4(6) Å³, Z=4, $\rho_{calcd}=1.456$ g cm⁻³, $\mu=1.059$ mm⁻¹ F(000) = 648. Data collection: $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, T = 163(2) K, θ -range: 3.20–27.56°, reflections collected: 3530, independent reflections: 3306. The data were collected on a STOE STADI-4 diffractometer. Refinement: the structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86) and refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares on all unique F^2 (SHELXL-93); data-to-parameter ratio 17.2:1; final R indices: $R_1 [I > 2\sigma(I)] = 0.0293$, wR_2 (all data)=0.0787; maximal residual electron density: $0.265 \text{ e}\text{\AA}^{-3}$. The program SCHAKAL-97 was used for the graphical representation (E. Keller, A Computer Program for the Graphic Representation of Molecular and Crystallographic Models, Freiburg, Germany, 1997) (Table 7).

Complete crystallographic details (excluding structure factors) for this structure have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-136042, and may be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44-1223-336-033).

Table 7. Atomic coordinates (×10⁴) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\mathring{A}^2 \times 10^3$) for **6f**. *U*(equiv.) is defined as the third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ii} tensor

	x	у	Z	U(equiv.)
Fe	3803.7(2)	6149.7(3)	1437.9(1)	27.3(1)
N(1)	3413(2)	8018(2)	2202.9(9)	33.5(3)
N(2)	2220(2)	8290(2)	2526.7(10)	40.7(4)
C(2)	4406(2)	7028(2)	2557.6(11)	35.9(4)
C(3)	5580(2)	6822(2)	2181.7(10)	33.9(4)
C(4)	5594(2)	7684(2)	1498.2(11)	32.0(4)
C(5)	1707(3)	7097(3)	2989(2)	64.7(7)
C(6)	1135(2)	8993(3)	1957(14)	59.2(6)
C(7)	2872(2)	7043(2)	566.9(11)	32.7(4)
O(1)	2327(2)	7567(2)	1.4(8)	47.0(4)
C(8)	2544(2)	4767(2)	1659.4(10)	33.1(4)
O(2)	1771(2)	3822(2)	1770.3(9)	47.7(4)
C(9)	4629(2)	4618(2)	1007.1(10)	30.7(4)
O(3)	5138.7(14)	3629(15)	725.8(8)	39.8(3)
C(10)	6704(2)	7541(2)	1021.2(10)	32.1(4)
C(11)	7639(2)	6290(2)	1072.2(12)	36.9(4)
C(12)	8665(2)	6210(2)	606.1(13)	43.7(5)
C(13)	8774(2)	7370(3)	82.0(13)	47.0(5)
C(14)	7873(2)	8618(3)	30.5(13)	47.5(5)
C(15)	6847(2)	8708(2)	495.4(12)	39.5(4)

Tricarbonyl[(1-4-η)-4-phenyl-1-phenylsulfonyl-1-azabuta-1,3-dieneliron (6g). The red-brown crude product was crystallized from EtOAc at -20° C to provide the azadiene 6g as orange crystals, mp≥145°C (dec.). IR (drift): $\tilde{\nu}$ =3045, 2071, 2013, 1998, 1447, 1318, 1154, 901, 822, 766, 724, 696, 686, 666 cm⁻¹. IR (CHCl₃): $\tilde{\nu}$ =2080, 2026, 2006, 1322, 1155, 598 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=3.35 (dd, J=9.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (dd, J=9.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (dd, J=3.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (m, 5H), 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.89 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =64.38 (CH), 78.27 (CH), 97.76 (CH), 126.78 (2CH), 127.05 (2 CH), 127.74 (CH), 129.00 (2 CH), 129.04 (2 CH), 132.83 (CH), 137.22 (C), 140.22 (C), 202.19 (CO), 207.27 (CO), 209.05 (CO). MS $(115^{\circ}C): m/z \ (\%)=411 \ (M^+, 1), \ 383 \ (10), \ 355 \ (27), \ 327$ (23), 326 (23), 262 (52), 202 (100), 185 (25), 133 (60). HRMS: calcd for $C_{18}H_{13}FeNO_5S$ (M⁺): 410.9864. Found: 410.9841.

Transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment to the dienes 7a and 7b using the oxadiene complex 2

Transfer to cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a). A solution of the diene **7a** (105 μ L, 88 mg, 1.10 mmol) and the iron complex **2** (200 mg, 0.633 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was stirred at reflux for 20 min. The cold mixture was filtered through a short path of Celite and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in a small amount of pentane and the oxadiene **1** was crystallized. The solution was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel to provide the complex **8a** as a yellow oil, yield: 118 mg (85%). For the spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Transfer to 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (7b). A solution of methoxycyclohexadiene (0.20 mL, content of **7b**: 65%; 121 mg, 1.1 mmol of 1,3-diene **7b**) and the iron complex **2** (200 mg, 0.633 mmol) in benzene (15 mL) was stirred at reflux for 30 min. After filtration of the mixture through a short path of Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1:20) on

silica gel afforded a mixture of the regioisomers **8b** (1-methoxy-substituted complex) and **8b'** (2-methoxy-substituted complex) as a yellow oil, yield: 91 mg (58%). The two regioisomers can be separated by flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel, which affords the 2-methoxy-substituted complex **8b'** as the less polar and the 1-methoxy-substituted complex **8b** as the more polar fraction.

8b. IR (drift): $\tilde{\nu}$ =3046, 3003, 2961, 2935, 2860, 2834, 2031, 1974, 1948, 1460, 1387, 1335, 1211, 1156, 1036, 717, 611 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.66–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.84 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.95 (m, 1H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 5.04 (dd, *J*=6.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, *J*=4.5 Hz, 1H). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ =1.08–1.50 (m, 3H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 3.06 (s, 3H), 4.30 (dd, *J*=6.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (dd, *J*=4.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =23.25 (CH₂), 25.09 (CH₂), 56.71 (CH₃), 58.36 (CH), 77.58 (CH), 78.52 (CH), 117.15 (C), 212.76 (3 CO). MS (25°C): *m*/*z* (%)=250 (M⁺, 3), 222 (26), 192 (12), 164 (100), 149 (10). HRMS: calcd for C₁₀H₁₀FeO₄ (M⁺): 249.9928. Found: 249.9939.

8b'. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.39–1.77 (m, 4H), 2.76 (m, 1H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 5.10 (dd, *J*=6.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ =1.12 (m, 1H), 1.31 (m, 2H), 1.48 (m, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 4.47 (dd, *J*=6.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H). For further spectral data, see Ref. 3c,e,f.

Transfer of the tricarbonyliron fragment to the dienes 7a-c, 9 and 11 using the azadiene complexes 6

Transfer to cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a). General procedure: A solution of the azadiene complex **6** and cyclohexa-1,3diene (**7a**) in THF [for **6g** in benzene] (15 mL) was heated at reflux. After the reaction time given, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel to afford the tricarbonyl(η^4 -cyclohexa-1,3-diene)iron complex (**8a**) as a yellow oil. For the spectral data, see Ref. 11 (Table 8).

Table 8. Complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) using the azadiene complexes 6

	Transfer reagent		C	Cyclohexa-1,3-die	me (7 a)	Reaction time	Yield	Yield of 8a	
	[mg]	[mmol]	[µL]	[mg]	[mmol]	[h]	[mg]	[%]	
6a	250	0.720	200	168	2.10	3.0	140	88	
6b	200	0.531	200	168	2.10	2.0	111	95	
6c	240	0.664	500	421	5.25	2.5	106	73	
6d	250	0.666	200	168	2.10	4.0	103	70	
6f	150	0.478	90	76	0.948	19.0	87	83	
6g	300	0.730	139	117	1.46	16.0	133	83	

Table 9. Complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (7b) using the azadiene complexes 6

	Transfer reagent		C ₆ H ₆ Reaction time		Yield	of 8b/8b ′	Ratio	
	[mg]	[mmol]	[mL]	[h]	[mg]	[%]	8b/8b ⁷	
6a 6b	250 250 250	0.720 0.663 0.692	15 15 12	5.5 4.0	121 106	67 64	1:1 1:1 2:1	
6d	250 250	0.666	12	4.25	143	86	2:1 2:1	

Transfer to 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (7b). General procedure: A solution of methoxycyclohexadiene (0.50 mL, content of **7b**: 65%; 302 mg, 2.74 mmol of 1,3-diene **7b**) and the azadiene complex **6** in benzene was stirred at reflux for the time given. After filtration of the mixture through a short path of Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1:20) on silica gel afforded a mixture of the two regioisomers **8b** and **8b'** as a yellow oil. For spectral data, see above (Table 9).

Transfer to cyclohepta-1,3-diene (7c). General procedure: A solution of the azadiene complex 6 and cyclohepta-1,3-diene (7c) in benzene (15 mL) was heated at reflux. After the time given the reaction mixture was filtered through a short path of Celite, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel to afford tricarbonyl(η^4 cyclohepta-1,3-diene)iron (8c) as a yellow oil. IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}$ =3031, 2981, 2928, 2890, 2869, 2845, 2039, 1958, 1459, 1441, 1404, 1347, 1338, 1161, 1089, 1058, 957, 860, 791, 630, 607 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.20 - 1.31$ (m, 1H), 1.38 - 1.44 (m, 1H), 1.84 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.98-2.07 (m, 2H), 3.04 (m, 2H), 5.27 (dd, J=6.0, 2.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR and DEPT (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =23.94 (CH₂), 28.07 (2 CH₂), 59.51 (2 CH), 87.96 (2 CH), 211.90 (3 CO). MS (25°C): *m*/*z* (%)=234 (M⁺, 1), 206 (32), 178 (10), 176 (7), 150 (25), 148 (100), 122 (14), 91 (9). HRMS: calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}FeO_3$ (M⁺): 233.9979. Found: 234.0008 (Table 10).

Transfer to 2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene (9). *General* procedure: A solution of the azadiene complex **6** and 2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene (9) in benzene [for **6c** in THF] (15 mL) was heated at reflux. After the reaction time given the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel to afford tricarbonyl([1-4- η]-2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene)iron (**10**) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ =-0.18 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (s, 6H). For further spectral data, see Ref. 5c,22 (Table 11).

Transfer to hexa-2,4-dienal (11). (*a*) Using the azadiene complex **6b**: A solution of the complex **6b** (250 mg, 0.663 mmol) and hexa-2,4-dienal (**11**) (0.11 mL, 96 mg, 1.00 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) was heated at reflux for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1:7) on silica gel to afford tricarbonyl([2-5- η]-hexa-2,4-dienal)-iron (**12**) as a yellow oil, yield: 107 mg (69%). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.27 (m, 1H), 1.50 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 5.31 (m, 1H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 9.25 (d, *J*=5.0 Hz, 1H). For further spectral data, see Ref. 23.

(b) Using the azadiene complex $(R_P/S_P,S)$ -6d: A solution of the complex $(R_P/S_P,S)$ -6d (300 mg, 0.800 mmol) and hexa-2,4-dienal (11) (0.18 mL, 154 mg, 1.60 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was heated at reflux for 36 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (Et₂O/pentane, 1:7) on silica gel. The crude product was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation (50°C/0.01 mbar)

 Table 10. Complexation of cyclohepta-1,3-diene (7c) using the azadiene complexes 6

Transfer reagent			(Cyclohepta-1,3-die	ne (7c)	Reaction time	Yield	l of 8c
	[mg]	[mmol]	[mL]	[mg]	[mmol]	[h]	[mg]	[%]
6a	250	0.720	0.20	174	1.85	2.0	123	73
6b	250	0.663	0.20	174	1.85	4.5	130	84
6c	280	0.775	0.30	260	2.76	18.0	156	86
6d	250	0.666	0.20	174	1.85	7.0	102	65

Table 11. Complexation of 2,3-dimethylbuta-1,3-diene (9) using the azadiene complexes 6

	Transfer rea	igent	2,	2,3-Dimethylbuta-1,3-diene (9)			Yield of 10	
	[mg]	[mmol]	[mL]	[mg]	[mmol]	[h]	[mg]	[%]
6a 6b	250 200	0.720 0.531	0.35 0.30	254 218	3.09 2.65	18.0 25.0	123 84	77 71
6c	250	0.692	0.32	232	2.83	20.0	110	72

Table 12. Thermally induced complexation of 7a, 7c, 11 and 13 with Fe₂(CO)₉

Fe ₂ (CO) ₉ Solvent			Diene			Reaction time	Product	Yield		
[g]	[mmol]	[mL]		[mL]	[g]	[mmol]	[h]		[g]	$[\%]^{\mathrm{a}}$
44.2	121.5	THF, 250	7a	13.9	11.7	146	6	8a	11.5	22
0.500	1.37	DME, 15	7a	0.39	0.328	4.09	16	8a	0.127	21
20.0	55.0	THF, 120	13	9.67	9.09	82.5	15	8b/8b′	8.78	32
11.6	31.8	DME, 85	13	7.45	7.00	63.6	15	8b/8b′	3.83	24
0.560	1.54	THF, 15	7c	0.20	0.174	1.85	19	8c	0.165	23
0.250	0.687	THF, 15	11	0.23	0.200	2.08	18	12	0.133	41

^a The yield is calculated based on the tricarbonyliron equivalents.

to provide tricarbonyl($[2-5-\eta]$ -hexa-2,4-dienal)iron (12) as a yellow oil, yield: 112 mg (59%). For spectral data, see above.

Thermally induced complexation of the dienes 7a, 7c, 11 and 13 with $Fe_2(CO)_9$: general procedure

A solution of $Fe_2(CO)_9$ and the dienes **7a**, **7c**, **11**, and **13** in the solvent given was heated at reflux for 6–19 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane; for **11**: EtOAc/pentane, 1:7) on silica gel to provide the iron complexes as yellow oils. For spectral data, see above (Table 12).

Catalytic complexation of the dienes 7a-c and 11 with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the heterodienes 1, 5b, 5f and 5g: general procedure

A solution of $Fe_2(CO)_9$, the diene, and a catalytic amount of the heterodiene (see below) in a dry solvent was heated at reflux. After the reaction time given the solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (eluent, see below) on silica gel to provide the diene complexes as yellow oils. All yields are calculated based on the tricarbonyliron equivalents.

Complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using catalytic amounts of the oxadiene 1. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (1.26 g, 3.46 mmol); cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) (0.38 mL, 321 mg, 4.00 mmol); *p*-methoxybenzylideneacetone (1) (30 mg, 0.170 mmol); THF (15 mL); reaction time: 19 h; eluent: pentane; yield of 8a: 240 mg (16%). For spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5b. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (10.0 g, 27.5 mmol); cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) (7.86 mL, 6.61 g, 82.5 mmol); 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b) (1.63 g, 6.87 mmol); DME (50 mL); reaction time: 16.5 h; eluent: pentane; yield of 8a: 11.9 g (98%). For spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5f. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (500 mg, 1.37 mmol); cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) (0.33 mL, 275 mg, 3.43 mmol); 1-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5f) (60 mg, 0.344 mmol); DME (15 mL); reaction time: 16 h; eluent: pentane; yield of 8a: 178 mg (30%). For spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5g. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (1.00 g, 2.75 mmol); cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) (0.65 mL, 550 mg, 6.86 mmol); 4-phenyl-1-phenylsulfonyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5g) (189 mg, 0.697 mmol); benzene (15 mL); reaction time: 17.5 h; eluent: pentane; yield of 8a: 730 mg (60%). For spectral data, see Ref. 11.

Catalytic complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (7b) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5b. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (500 mg, 1.37 mmol); methoxycyclohexadiene (0.62 mL, content of 7b: 65%; 376 mg, 3.41 mmol of 1,3-diene 7b); 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b)

(81 mg, 0.341 mmol); DME (15 mL); reaction time: 17 h; eluent: Et_2O /pentane 1:10; yield of **8b** and **8b**': 587 mg (86%). For spectral data, see above.

Catalytic complexation of cyclohepta-1,3-diene (7c) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5b. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (500 mg, 1.37 mmol); cyclohepta-1,3-diene (7c) (0.37 mL, 321 mg, 3.41 mmol); 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b) (81 mg, 0.341 mmol); dioxane (15 mL); reaction time: 17 h; eluent: pentane; yield of 8c: 432 mg (67%). For spectral data, see above.

Catalytic complexation of hexa-2,4-dienal (11) with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ using the azadiene 5b. $Fe_2(CO)_9$ (500 mg, 1.37 mmol); hexa-2,4-dienal (11) (0.38 mL, 328 mg, 3.41 mmol); 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b) (81 mg, 0.341 mmol); DME (15 mL); reaction time: 16 h; eluent: EtOAc/pentane, 1:7; yield of 12: 465 mg (72%). For spectral data, see above.

Catalytic complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $Fe(CO)_5$ using the heterodienes 1 and 5a-e —variation of the reaction time: general procedure

A solution of pentacarbonyliron (0.60 mL, 891 mg, 4.55 mmol), cyclohexa-1,3-diene (**7a**) (0.65 mL, 547 mg, 6.83 mmol), and the heterodiene (0.569 mmol) in dioxane (15 mL) was heated at reflux for the reaction time given. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel to afford tricarbonyl(η^4 -cyclohexa-1,3-diene)iron (**8a**) as a yellow oil. For spectral data, see Ref. 11 (Table 13).

Catalytic complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,3-diene (7b) with Fe(CO)₅ using the catalyst 5b

A solution of pentacarbonyliron (10.0 mL, 14.9 g, 76.1 mmol), methoxycyclohexadiene (20.2 mL, 18.8 g, 170 mmol, content: 65% of the 1,3-diene **7b** and 35% of the 1,4-diene **13**), and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (**5b**) (2.19 g, 9.23 mmol) in dioxane (50 mL) was stirred at reflux for 5 days. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography (Et₂O/pentane, 1:10) on silica gel to afford a mixture

Table 13. Complexation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (7a) with $\mbox{Fe}(\mbox{CO})_5$ using catalytic amounts of 1 and 5a-e

Catalyst		Reaction time [h]	Yield of 8a	
	[mg]		[mg]	[%]
_	_	14	7	0.7
1	100	14	42	4
5a	118	14	413	41
5b	135	5	210	21
5b	135	14	496	50
5b	135	37	910	91
5c	126	5	160	16
5c	126	14	472	47
5c	126	37	798	80
(S)- 5d	134	5	204	20
(S)-5d	134	14	406	41
(S)-5d	134	37	642	64
5e	107	14	390	39

of **8b** and **8b**' as a yellow oil, yield: 15.2 g (80%), ratio of **8b**/**8b**'=1:1. For spectral data, see above.

Catalytic complexation of 1-methoxycyclohexa-1,4-diene (13) with $Fe(CO)_5$ using the catalyst 5b

Pentacarbonyliron (8.93 mL, 13.3 g, 67.9 mmol) was added to a solution of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyl-1-azabuta-1,3-diene (5b) (2.00 g, 8.43 mmol) in dioxane (100 mL) at room temperature and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Methoxycyclohexadiene (11.9 mL, 11.2 g, 101.6 mmol, content: 85% of the 1,4-diene 13 and 15% of the 1,3diene 7b) and dioxane (150 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 5 days. The black suspension was filtered through a short path of Celite and the solvent of the filtrate was evaporated. Flash chromatography (pentane) of the residue on silica gel afforded a mixture of the regioisomers 8b and 8b' as a yellow oil, yield: 13.8 g (81%), ratio of $8b/8b' \approx 1:1$. Flash chromatography (pentane) on silica gel afforded the 2-methoxy-substituted complex $\mathbf{8b}'$ as the less polar and the 1-methoxy-substituted complex 8b as the more polar fraction. For spectral data, see above.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the *Deutsche Forschungs*gemeinschaft (Kn 240/5-3) and the *Fonds der Chemischen Industrie*. We thank the *BASF AG*, Ludwigshafen, for a supply of pentacarbonyliron.

References

1. Part 56: Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, E.; Goesmann, H.; Gössel, H.; Hartmann, K.; Kosub, M.; Locher, U.; Sommer, T. *Angew. Chem.* **2000**, *112*, 797; *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.* **2000**, *39*, 781.

 Reviews: Pearson, A. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 463.
 Pearson, A. J. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry;
 Wilkinson, G., Stone, F. G. A., Abel, E. W., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 8, Chapter 58. Pearson, A. J. Metalloorganic Chemistry; Wiley: Chichester, 1985; Chapter 7 and 8.
 Grée, R. Synthesis 1989, 341. Knölker, H.-J. In Organic Synthesis via Organometallics; Dötz, K. H., Hoffmann, R. W., Eds.; Vieweg: Braunschweig, 1991; p. 119. Knölker, H.-J. Synlett 1992, 371.
 Pearson, A. J. Iron Compounds in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: London, 1994; Chapter 4 and 5. Grée, R., Lellouche, J. P. In Advances in Metal-Organic Chemistry; Liebeskind, L. S., Ed.; JAI: Greenwich (CT), 1995; Vol. 4; p. 129. Knölker, H.-J. In Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds., Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; Vol. 1; Chapter 3.13. Knölker, H.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 151.

3. (a) Reihlen, H.; Gruhl, A.; von Hessling, G.; Pfrengle, O. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1930, 482, 161. (b) Hallam, B. F.; Pauson, P. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 642. (c) Birch, A. J.; Cross, P. E.; Lewis, J.; White, D. A.; Wild, S. B. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 332. (d) Birch, A. J.; Haas, M. A. J. Chem. Soc. C 1971, 2465. (e) Birch,

A. J.; Chamberlain, K. B.; Haas, M. A.; Thompson, D. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1973**, 1882. (f) Ireland, R. E.; Brown, G. G.; Stanford, R. H.; McKenzie, T. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, *39*, 51.

4. For a brief review on tricarbonyliron transfer reagents, see: Knölker, H.-J. In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 1995; Vol. 1, p. 333.

5. (a) Howell, J. A. S.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Josty, P. L.; Lewis, J.

J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 39, 329. (b) Graham, C. R.; Scholes,

G.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1180. (c) Brookhart,

M.; Nelson, G. O. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 164, 193.

6. Barton, D. H. R.; Gunatilaka, A. A. L.; Nakanishi, T.; Patin, H.; Widdowson, D. A.; Worth, B. R. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1976**, 821.

7. Fleckner, H.; Grevels, F.-W.; Hess, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2027.

8. (a) Knölker, H.-J.; Gonser, P. *Synlett* **1992**, 517. (b) Knölker, H.-J.; Gonser, P.; Jones, P. G. *Synlett* **1994**, 405.

9. Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, G.; Gonser, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8191.

10. Knölker, H.-J.; Goesmann, H.; Gonser, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 6543.

11. Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, G.; Foitzik, N.; Goesmann, H.; Gonser, P.; Jones, P. G.; Röttele, H. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, 993.

12. (a) Otsuka, S.; Yoshida, T.; Nakamura, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1967**, 6, 20. (b) Brodie, A. M.; Johnson, B. F. G.; Josty, P. L.; Lewis, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1972**, 2031.

13. (a) Yin, J.; Chen, J.; Xu, W.; Zhang, Z.; Tang, Y. Organometallics **1988**, 7, 21. (b) Danks, T. N.; Thomas, S. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 1425. (c) Danks, T. N.; Thomas, S. E. J. Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1990**, 761. (d) Semmelhack, M. F.; Cheng, C. H. J. Organomet. Chem. **1990**, 393, 237.

14. Cherkaoui, H.; Martelli, J.; Grée, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4781. Imhof, W.; Göbel, A.; Braga, D.; De Leonardis, P.; Tedesco, E. *Organometallics* **1999**. *18*, 736.

15. Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, E.; Gonser, P.; Rohde, G.; Röttele, H. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 3916.

16. (a) Knölker, H.-J.; Hermann, H. Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 363; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 341. (b) Knölker, H.-J.; Gonser, P.; Koegler, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2405. (c) Knölker, H.-J.; Goesmann, H.; Hermann, H.; Herzberg, D.; Rohde, G. Synlett 1999, 421. (d) Knölker, H.-J.; Herzberg, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3547. (e) Knölker, H.-J.; Hermann, H.; Herzberg, D. Chem. Commun. 1999, 831.

17. Einhorn, A.; Grabfield, J. P. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1888, 243, 362.

18. Ley, S. V.; Low, C. M. R.; White, A. D. J. Organomet. Chem. **1986**, 302, C13. Ley, S. V.; Low, C. M. R. Ultrasound in Organic Synthesis; Springer: Berlin, 1989; Chapter 14.

19. Boger, D. L.; Corbett, W. L.; Curran, T. T.; Kasper, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 1713.

20. Kruczynski, L.; Takats, J. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 3140.

21. Enders, D.; Eichenauer, H. Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 2933.

22. Behrens, H.; Moll, M.; Popp, W.; Seibold, H.-J.; Sepp, E.; Würstl, P. J. Organomet. Chem. **1980**, *192*, 389.

23. Mahler, J. E.; Pettit, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 85, 3955. Brookhart, M.; Harris, D. L. J. Organomet. Chem. **1972**, 42, 441.